

A possible explanation of a broad 1^{--} resonant structure around 1.5GeV

Bing An Li

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky

Lexington, KY 40506, USA

Abstract

The broad 1^{--} resonant structure around 1.5GeV observed in the K^+K^- mass spectrum in $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ by BESII is interpreted as a composition of $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$. Various tests are investigated.

Recently, BESII has reported an observation of a broad resonant structure around 1.5GeV in the K^+K^- mass spectrum in $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ [1]. The quantum numbers of this structure are determined to be 1^{--} . In Ref.[1] single pole is used to fit the data

$$m = 1576_{-55}^{+49+98} MeV \quad \Gamma = 818_{-23-133}^{+22+64} MeV. \quad (1)$$

The branching ratio is determined to be

$$B(J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0)B(X \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (8.5 \pm 0.6_{-3.6}^{+2.7}) \times 10^{-4}. \quad (2)$$

Interpretation of this broad structure is a challenge. In Ref.[2] this structure is interpreted as a $K^*(892)$ - κ molecule. Tetraquark state[3] and diquark-antidiquark[4] are proposed to explain the broad width of this structure. It is pointed out in Ref.[5] that two broad overlapped resonances $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ are right at the region of the broad structure and have the same quantum numbers. The final state interactions of $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow K^+K^-$ are studied in Ref.[5] and the $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\rho(1450, 1700))B(\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow K^+K^-) \sim 10^{-7}$ is obtained. This branching ratio is far less than the experimental value(2).

In the range $\rho(1600)$ there is complicated structure. A lot of strong evidences show that the 1600-MeV region actually contains two ρ -like resonances: $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ [6]. In this letter the possibility that the broad structure mentioned above is caused by $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ is revisited. The arguments are following:

1. Their quantum numbers are 1^{--} which are the same as the ones of the structure. They

are isovectors and can be produced in $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1450, 1700)\pi$.

2. Their masses are in the region of the structure.
3. The decay mode of $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow \bar{K}K$ has been found[7]. Therefore, these two resonances do contribute to $J/\psi \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$.
4. Can the contributions of $\rho(1450, 1700)$ explain the $BR(J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0)B(X \rightarrow K^+K^-)(2)$?

This is a very important issue. In Ref.[1] the estimation of $BR(J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1450)\pi^0)BR(\rho(1450) \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (5.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ is obtained if the broad structure is from $\rho(1450)$.

This value is within the lower end of the value(2). The contribution of $\rho(1700)$ should be included. $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow K^+K^-$ are found in $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ in Ref.[8] and $B(\bar{p}p \rightarrow \rho(1700)\pi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0) = (2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$ is reported[8]. In Ref.[9] $BR(\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+p_{spectator}) = (1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ is presented. $\rho(770), \rho(1450), \rho(1700), f_2(1275)\dots$ are found in this process. The data in Ref.[7] leads to $BR(\rho(1700) \rightarrow \pi\pi) \sim 0.25 \times 10^{-2}$. Using all these data, the estimation $BR(\rho(1700) \rightarrow K\bar{K}) > 10^{-3}$ is obtained. Adding the contribution of $\rho(1700)$ to the estimation made in Ref.[1], the possibility that $BR(J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1450, 1700)\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)$ reaches the value presented in Eq.(2) is not impossible.

In Ref.[5] loop diagrams are calculated to determine the decay rates of $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow K\bar{K}$. Very small branching ratios are found and the authors conclude that comparing

with the data(2), $BR(J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1450, 1700)\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0)$ is too small. A different point of view is presented in this letter. $\rho(770)$ meson is made of u and d quarks. In a chiral field theory of pseudoscalar, vector, and axial vector mesons[10] it is shown that $\rho(770)$ is coupled to $K\bar{K}$ at the tree level[10]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\rho K\bar{K}} &= \frac{2}{g}f_\rho(q^2)f_{iab}\rho_\mu^i K_a\partial_\mu K_b, \\
\mathcal{L}_{\rho\pi\pi} &= \frac{2}{g}f_\rho(q^2)\epsilon_{ijk}\rho_\mu^i\pi^j\partial_\mu\pi^k, \\
f_\rho(q^2) &= 1 + \frac{q^2}{2\pi^2m_\rho^2}\{(1 - \frac{2c}{g})^2 - 4\pi^2c^2\}, \\
c &= \frac{f^2}{2gm_\rho^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where g is a universal coupling constant and determined to be 0.39. Eqs.(3) show that in the chiral limit the strengths of the couplings $\rho\pi\pi$ and $\rho K\bar{K}$ are the same at the tree level. In this chiral theory ω meson couples to $K\bar{K}$ at the tree level too. All $\rho K\bar{K}$, $\omega K\bar{K}$, and $\phi K\bar{K}$ couplings contribute to both the form factors of charged kaon and neutral kaon[11]. Theory agrees with data very well. The decay $\tau \rightarrow K\bar{K}\nu$ is dominated by the vertex $\mathcal{L}_{\rho K\bar{K}}$ at the tree level. Theory is in good agreement with data[12]. The vertex $\mathcal{L}_{\rho K\bar{K}}$ at the tree level contributes to πK scatterings too[13] and good agreement with data is obtained[13]. Therefore, the coupling $\rho K\bar{K}$ at the tree level exists and is supported by experiments. In this chiral field theory meson vertices at the tree level are at the leading order in N_C expansion and loop diagrams of mesons are

at higher order. Therefore, loop diagrams of mesons are suppressed by N_C expansion. For example, $m_\omega - m_\rho$, $m_{f_1(1285)} - m_{a_1}$, $BR(\phi \rightarrow \rho\pi)$ are from one-loop diagrams of mesons and they are small. Comparing with $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450, 1700)$ are isovectors too. There is no obvious reason why the couplings $\rho(1450, 1700)K\bar{K}$ at the tree level are forbidden. On the other hand, based on the arguments of Ref.[10], loop diagrams of mesons are at higher order in N_C expansion. Therefore, $BR(\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow K\bar{K})$ obtained from loop diagrams of mesons in Ref.[5] are small. Adding tree diagrams which at the leading order in N_C expansion, the increase of $BR(\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow K\bar{K})$ should be expected.

5. The experimental values of the widths of $\rho(1450, 1700)$ have a wide range[7]. The range of the width of $\rho(1450)$ is $60 - 547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45} \text{ MeV}$ [7] and for $\rho(1700)$ it is $100 - 850 \pm 200 \text{ MeV}$ [7]. In a high statistics study of the decay $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$ [14] both $\rho(1450, 1700)$ are found. In one fit $\Gamma(\rho(1450)) = 471 \pm 29 \pm 21 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma(\rho(1700)) = 255 \pm 19 \pm 79 \text{ MeV}$ are determined. $\Gamma(\rho(1450)) = 553 \pm 31 \pm 21 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma(\rho(1700)) = 567 \pm 81 \pm 79 \text{ MeV}$ are obtained in the second fit. Therefore, it is not a problem to use $\rho(1450, 1700)$ to understand the broad structure(1).

All the arguments provided above show it is possible that the broad structure observed in $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$ is caused by $\rho(1450, 1700)$.

In this letter tests of this possibility are investigated. The decay mode of $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow$

$\pi\pi$ has been found[7]. Therefore, the broad structure observed in $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ should be observed in the spectrum of $\pi^+\pi^-$ of $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$. The effective Lagrangians for $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1450, 1700)\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ are constructed

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \rho\pi} &= \frac{2g_J}{f_\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (\partial_\mu J_\nu - \partial_\nu J_\mu) (\partial_\alpha \rho_\beta^i - \partial_\beta \rho_\alpha^i) \pi^i, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rho \rightarrow K^+K^-} &= g_\rho \rho_\mu^i f_{iab} K_a \partial_\mu K_b,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where ρ is either $\rho(1450)$ or $\rho(1700)$. The distribution of $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1450, 1700)\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ is obtained

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} &= \frac{1}{9f_\pi^2 m_J^4} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} (q^2 - 4m_K^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \{ (m_J^2 + q^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)^2 - 4m_J^2 q^2 \}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad \sum_i \left| \frac{g_1}{q^2 - m_1^2 + i\sqrt{q^2}\Gamma_1} + \frac{g_2}{q^2 - m_2^2 + i\sqrt{q^2}\Gamma_2} \right|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where q is the momentum of ρ , $m_1 = 1.45\text{GeV}$ and $m_2 = 1.7\text{GeV}$, $g_{1,2}$ are two parameters. $\Gamma_1 = 0.4\text{GeV}$, $\Gamma_2 = 0.4\text{GeV}$, and $g_1 = 1.495g_2$ are tried to fit the distribution obtained by BESII[1]. The width of the structure obtained by Eq.(5) is about 600MeV which is compatible with the data(1). In the chiral limit the effective Lagrangian of $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ is constructed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho(1450,1700) \rightarrow \pi\pi} = g_\rho \rho_\mu^i \epsilon_{ijk} \pi_j \partial_\mu \pi_k.\tag{6}$$

Notice $f_{3ab} = \frac{1}{2}(a, b = 4, 5, 6, 7)$. Substituting m_K^2 by $m_{\pi^+}^2$ in Eq.(5) and multiplying Eq.(5) by a factor of 4, the distribution of $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0(1450, 1700)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ is obtained in the

resonance area of $\rho^0(1450, 1700)$. Integrating this distribution, it is obtained

$$BR(J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0(1450, 1700)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \sim 8BR(J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0(1450, 1700)\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0). \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, we can search for $\rho^\pm(1450, 1700)$ in $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

The decay mode $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow 4\pi$ has been found[7]. $\rho\pi\pi$ is the dominant decay channel of $\rho(1700)$. According to the chiral meson theory[11], a_1 strongly couples to $\rho\pi$. Therefore, $\rho(1700) \rightarrow \rho\pi\pi$ is dominant by $\rho(1700) \rightarrow a_1\pi$. Because of small phase space of $\rho(1450) \rightarrow a_1(1260)\pi$ $BR(\rho(1450) \rightarrow a_1(1260)\pi \rightarrow \rho\pi\pi)$ is small. $\rho(1700)$ can be searched in $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1700)\pi \rightarrow a_1\pi\pi$.

$\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow \eta\rho, \omega\pi$ are discovered[7]. $\rho(1450, 1700)$ can be found in $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho(1450, 1700)\pi \rightarrow \eta\rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$. $\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow \phi\pi$ are OZI suppressed. Therefore, $BR(\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow \phi\pi)$ are much smaller than $BR(\rho(1450, 1700) \rightarrow \pi\pi, a_1\pi, \eta\pi, \omega\pi)$. However, if the broad structure is a four quark state X[3,4] which via "fall apart" decays, there is no suppression for this four quark state to decay to $\phi\pi$. Larger $BR(J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi \rightarrow \phi\pi\pi)$ and very small $BR(J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi, a_1\pi\pi, \eta\rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi)$ should be expected if X is a four quark state.

The nature of $\rho(1450, 1700)$ is very interesting. In Ref.[15] the authors claim that $\rho(1450)$ has a mass consistent with radial 2s, but its decays show characteristics of hybrids, and suggest that this state may be a 2s-hybrid mixture. In Ref.[16] it is argued that the inclusion of a isovector hybrid is essential to explain the $e^+e^- \rightarrow 4\pi$ data.

In summary, the possibility that the broad structure reported by BESII is caused by $\rho(1450, 1700)$ is not ruled out. Various tests are proposed.

References

- [1] M.Ablikim et al., BES Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett.**97**, 142002(2006).
- [2] F.K.Guo and P.N.Shen, Phys.Rev.**D74**,097503(2006).
- [3] M.Karliner and H.Lipkin, hep-ph/0607093; Z.G.Wang and S.L.Wan, hep-ph/0608263;
A.Zhang, T.Huang and T.Steele, hep-ph/0612146.
- [4] G.J.Ding and M.L.Yan, Phys.Lett **B643**, 33(2006).
- [5] X.Liu et al., hep-ph/0701022.
- [6] S.Eidelman and J.J.Hernandez-Rey, J.of Physics G, **33**,1(2006).
- [7] Particle Data Group, J.of Physics G, **33**,1(2006).
- [8] A.Abele et al., Crystal Barrel Collaboration, Phys.Lett.**B468**,178(1999).
- [9] A.Abele et al., Crystal Barrel Collaboration, Phys.Lett.**B450**,275(1999).
- [10] B.A.Li, Phys.Rev.**D52**,5165(1995), **52**,5184(1995).

- [11] J.Gao and B.A.Li, Phys.Rev.**D61**,113006(2000).
- [12] B.A.Li, Phys.Rev.**D55**, 1436(1997).
- [13] B.A.Li,D.N.Gao and M.L.Yan, Phys.Rev.**D58**,09031(1998).
- [14] K.Abe et al., Belle collaboration, hep-ex/0512071.
- [15] T.Barnes et al., Phys.Rev.**D55**, 4157(1997); F.E.Close and P.Page, Phys.Rev.**D56**,1584(1997).
- [16] A.Donnachie and A.A.Kalashnikova, Phys.Rev.**D60**,114011(1999)